

**PREA AUDIT REPORT     INTERIM     FINAL**

**ADULT PRISONS & JAILS**



<b>Auditor Information</b>			
<b>Auditor name:</b> Hubert L " Buddy" Kent			
<b>Address:</b> P.O. Box 515			
<b>Email:</b> auditorbuddykent@yahoo.com			
<b>Telephone number:</b> 850-509-1662			
<b>Date of facility visit:</b> June 6-7, 2017			
<b>Facility Information</b>			
<b>Facility name:</b> Moore Haven Correctional Facility			
<b>Facility physical address:</b> 1278 East 78 Road			
<b>Facility mailing address:</b> <i>(if different from above)</i> P.O. Box 69 Moore Haven, FL.			
<b>Facility telephone number:</b> 863-946-2420			
<b>The facility is:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal	<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> County
	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for profit
	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for profit		
<b>Facility type:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Prison	<input type="checkbox"/> Jail	
<b>Name of facility's Chief Executive Officer:</b> Ralph Cherry			
<b>Number of staff assigned to the facility in the last 12 months:</b> 185 authorized positions			
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b> 985 Contract Capacity			
<b>Current population of facility:</b> 973			
<b>Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:</b> Medium, Minimum, Community			
<b>Age range of the population:</b> 19-80 years of age			
<b>Name of PREA Compliance Manager:</b> Richard Oppelt		<b>Title:</b>	Assistant Warden
<b>Email address:</b> roppelt@geogroup.com		<b>Telephone number:</b>	863-946-2420
<b>Agency Information</b>			
<b>Name of agency:</b> The GEO Group			
<b>Governing authority or parent agency:</b> <i>(if applicable)</i> State of Florida			
<b>Physical address:</b> One Park Place, Suite 700, 621 Northwest 53rd Street, Boca Raton, FL 33487			
<b>Mailing address:</b> <i>(if different from above)</i>			
<b>Telephone number:</b> 561-999-5827			
<b>Agency Chief Executive Officer</b>			
<b>Name:</b> George Zoley		<b>Title:</b>	Chairman of the
<b>Email address:</b> gzoley@geogroup.com		<b>Telephone number:</b>	561-893-0101
<b>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator</b>			
<b>Name:</b> Phebia L. Moreland		<b>Title:</b>	Director of
<b>Email address:</b> pmoreland@geogroup.com		<b>Telephone number:</b>	561-999-5827

## AUDIT FINDINGS

### NARRATIVE

The PREA audit of the Moore Haven Correctional Facility was conducted on June 5-7, 2017 by Hubert L. "Buddy" Kent. Approximately 10 days prior to the audit, the auditor received the PREA questionnaire and additional documents on a drive by mail. The day before the audit, a listing of all inmates by housing assignment and a staff listing by shift assignments of staff currently working with inmates was requested. A list of all inmates currently housed at the facility that have had a PREA case. From these listings, one (1) inmate from each housing unit, one segregated inmates, two who reported sexual abuse or harassment, one listed as non-heterosexual, two trans-gender inmates, one limited English speaking and one reporting victimization. The language line was utilized to interview the limited English speaking inmate. There are no youthful inmates assigned to the facility. There were twenty four self-admitted LGBT inmates and two trans-gender inmates assigned to the facility. A total of twenty eight random inmate interviews were conducted. The IBAS identification system identified one potential predator and no potential victims. There were no hearing impaired inmates assigned to the facility. There were no visual impaired inmates assigned to the facility. Eight random staff interviews were conducted and included staff from all work shifts and all areas of the facility. The Specialized Staff Interviews included fourteen interviews for staff designated as: Intermediate/higher-level, Medical, Mental-Health, Contractor, Investigative, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Supervisors in Segregation, Incident Review Team, Monitors Retaliation, First Responder Security, First Responder Non-Security, Intake Staff, Training Administrator and a Volunteer. During the tour the auditor randomly spoke with eight staff and forty one inmates. There are two hundred volunteers and contractors approved to entry the facility on a daily basis.

The auditor contacted Just Detention International (JOI) in reference any information previously submitted by inmates at the Moore Haven Correctional Facility and reviewed the GEO website prior to the audit. GEO PREA page lists: general information on PREA; agency zero tolerance policy; how to report; information on investigations; and where questions and inquiries can be forwarded to (PREA Coordinator phone and mailing address).

On the first day of the audit an entrance meeting was held at 9:00 a.m. Following the entrance meeting with staff, the auditors toured the entire facility. While touring, random inmates and staff were informally interviewed and questioned about their knowledge of PREA. During the tour the auditor reviewed staffing; logs; physical plant; sight lines; camera coverage; tested the inmate phone system for reporting allegations and facility operations.

Following the Tour, the auditor began the interviews, checking of cameras, and random checks of personnel, medical, and training records. A total of twenty two staff was formally interviewed in the course of the audit. Additionally, the auditor spoke with the Contract Monitor. Staff interviewed stated they understood their responsibilities in reporting sexual abuse and suspected sexual abuse. When questioned about evidence preservation, staff responses reflected policies and standard requirements. The auditor found the inmates aware of PREA.

There were 3 investigations of allegations at Moore Haven Correctional Facility. All allegations were inmate on inmate abuse. None of the cases were closed.

When the on-site audit was completed, the auditor conducted an exit meeting. While the auditor could not give the facility a final finding, the auditor did provide a preliminary status of his findings. The auditor thanked GEO and Moore Haven Correctional Facility staff for their work and commitment to the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

## **DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS**

The Moore Haven Correctional Facility is located in South Central Florida two miles north of Moore Haven at 1282 St. Rd. 78 E. and sits on 52 acres of land. The facility was constructed in 1994 and began accepting inmates in July, 1995. The Geo Group, Inc. owns and operates the facility. The actual population at the time of the audit was 976 and the average population over the past 12 months was 979 inmates. The outside perimeter is secured by a microwave detection system and a 24 hour 7 days a week patrol vehicle.

The facility has four inmate housing building: two multi housing cell-block buildings five housing units per building two men per cell and two open bay buildings with five housing units per building. Each housing unit has its own dining area and a day room. There are a total of 20 housing units. The day of the audit Housing Unit B-4 was closed for repair work. The facility has daily work and activities scheduled.

The Facility security consists of two perimeter fences. The inner fence is ten feet high and the outer is 12 feet in height. The fences are 20 feet apart and there is a zoned microwave detection system between the fences. Additionally the inner fence has two rows of razor wire at the top and bottom of the fence. The outer fence has three-double rows of razor wire at the bottom and two rows at the top.

The criminal profile of inmates housed at Moore Haven CF is varied. Offenses range from murder, manslaughter, kidnapping, sexual battery, lewd and lascivious act on a child, robbery, assault or battery, burglary and drug trafficking to drug sales. Sentences range from one year and one day to life imprisonment. The average age of the inmates is 36.

## **SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS**

### Non complaint

115.13 Facility does not have a adequate staffing plan. They have one officer per 5 housing units. The facility is appealing the findings of the auditor.

### Not applicable

115.12 Facility does not contract out the housing of inmates.

115.14 Facility does not house any youthful inmates per the contract.

115.18 There have been no upgrades in video technology since the last audit.

115.66 Facility is at will employer. No unions are available to staff.

Number of standards exceeded: 0

Number of standards met: 38

Number of standards not met: 1

Number of standards not applicable: 4

### **Standard 115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility meets the standard based on the Policy and Procedure 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection and Response. The department has an agency wide coordinator. 98 percent plus of her work time is spent on PREA. She coordinates with the other 50 institutional PREA managers and 7 private facilities. The PREA Coordinator reports to the Director of Security Operations. The PREA Coordinator was very knowledgeable about the PREA requirements and is considered very effective in meeting the requirements of PREA. Institutional PREA Compliance Manager is the Assistant Warden for Programs. She reports to the Warden. The institutional PREA coordinates with all areas of the institution to achieve compliance with the standards. The organization chart was reviewed. GEO has a corporate office designated PREA Coordinator. GEO Policy 5.1.2 is their PREA policy.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection and Response Page 2 Section 2

### **Standard 115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Standard is not applicable facility does not contract out the housing of inmates. Department of Management Services has oversight of the contract for GEO Moore Haven. They utilize a check list to check contract compliance on a monthly basis.

### **Standard 115.13 Supervision and monitoring**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Facility has a staffing roster based on the budget. If every post is filled there is 16 staff on duty for day shift. There are 15 positions on the night shift. There are a total of 110 Security positions. At the time of the audit there were 14 vacancies, 1 captain, 2 lieutenants and 9 correctional officers. These vacancies have been vacant for 9 months. The staffing roster does not have enough staff to man the two response teams (A team and DART team) without duplicating each. Departmental policy requires each to man by different team members. Segregation Unit one staff assigned on the night shift policy requires two to open a door in segregation. Review of Camera inspections show 29 of 126 camera had issues ranging from spider webs to no video. C dorm is a cell unit and has no video recording capabilities. Staffing is one officer per 5 housing units. One officer in the officer station.

Facility states they have never gone below critical staffing. GEO is appealing the finding of the audit.

### **Standard 115.14 Youthful inmates**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Not Applicable Facility is a adult housing facility no youthful inmates are housed.

### **Standard 115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Moore Haven Correctional Facility is an adult male facility. Policy states shall not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances; and shall document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches. Based on documents reviewed and interviews of staff and inmates, Moore Haven Correctional Facility has not conducted cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches in the last twelve months. Departmental Policy states institutions shall not search or physically examine a trans-gender or inter sex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. Staff is trained how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of trans-gender and inter-sex inmates, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. Review of training records, lesson plans, and interviews of staff demonstrated staff had been trained to conduct how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of trans-gender and inter-sex inmates, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs.

Dormitory housed inmates are provided privacy while showering (half walls) and while using the bathroom. Female staff announce each time they enter into the housing units. This process was verified during the tour and with the interviews conducted with the inmates and staff. Housing Logs show the announcement made at the beginning of each shift. During the tour the medical exam rooms lack adequate privatization screens.

Housing and Control Logs show the Officer in Charge making unannounced rounds. Spot checks of the video confirm the unannounced

### **Standard 115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department has established procedures to provide inmates with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Department shall ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities, including inmates who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision. The auditor interviewed a limited English proficient inmate utilizing the Language Line. He indicated that staff has provided him information on PREA reporting. The auditor verified a staff translator list was available. The Department has a contract with Language Line for all languages. The language line is available for use by staff when a staff translator is not available. Policy prohibits the use of inmate interpreters except in emergency situations or the inmate's safety would be compromised. Staff and inmate interviews all supported that inmates would not be relied on as translators. An inmate translator was not used in a PREA allegation during the previous twelve months.

### Standard 115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Departmental Policy for Background Investigation and Appointment of Certified Officers (208.049) does not allow hiring or promotions of anyone who has been convicted of sexual abuse in prison/jail or in community. Background checks are done on all employees, contractors and volunteers. Verified with interview of personnel staff. Background checks (FCIC/NCIC) are conducted on all new hires. The Department of Management Services is connected as a level II employer and any arrest is provided to the Department upon entry into the system. All volunteer and contract re-checks are completed annually and are up to date. According to the interview of the Human Resource person that handles all requests for employees seeking employment any criminal history is reported to the prospective employing institution. There were thirty five background checks completed during the previous twelve months.

GEO human resources completed the background checks on all prospective new hires.

### Standard 115.18 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Facility has not updated the video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012.

## Standard 115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Office of the Inspector General shall conduct all investigations of sexual abuse, sexual battery, staff sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment pursuant to section 944.31, F.S., Procedure 108.003, Investigative Process and Procedure 108.015 Sexual Battery, Sexual Harassment and Sexual Misconduct Investigations except when a Memorandum of Understanding is in place. Inspectors were trained by the Moss Group to conduct sexual assault investigations. The Department of Corrections has a current agreement with Panhandle SART team to conduct forensic evaluations. Investigative Officers confirm the Chain of Evidence Protocol during the interview process. Policy is no co pay for any PREA incident or follow-up. Mental health services are provided by Correct Care staff. Correct Care staff provides follow up counseling. The outside victim advocacy agreement is with The Abuse Counseling & Treatment Center (ACT), Ft. Myers, Florida. The facility did not report the one SART exam completed until questioned by the auditor.

115.21 (a)-3:Procedure 108.015 Section 7b, 7e, 7g – 7i, 7l, 7r, & 7u Pages 5-6, 9b3 Page 7, 9b9 & 9b10 Page 8  
Procedure 602.053 Section 4a5, Page 10, 5 a-g Pages 11 & 12

## Standard 115.22 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Interviews with Administrative staff and investigative staff corroborate that all reports of sexual abuse or harassment are reported to the Inspector General's office. Procedure 108.015 covers sexual abuse and harassment investigations. A Management Incident Notification System document is completed on each incident and forwarded to the Inspector General's Office. The agency ensures that an administrative and/or a criminal investigation are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as defined in policy FDC 108.015.

During the past twelve months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment that were received is three. During the past twelve months, the number of allegations resulting in an administrative investigation is three. During the past twelve months no allegation resulted in criminal investigation. There was no cases closed during the past twelve months. Facility stated they had no inmate sexual harassment reported during the previous 12 months.

Procedure 108.015 Page 7-8 Inspector Responsibilities

### Standard 115.31 Employee training

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility trains all employees who may have contact with inmates on the following matters Agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures; The right of inmates to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; The right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement; The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse; How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates; How to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender-nonconforming inmates; and How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse. Each employee, regardless of his or her position, is trained as a first responder.

Training records, staff interviews and curriculum reviewed indicated that the staff is trained. In the past twelve months, 185 of 185 employees assigned to the facility were trained on the PREA requirements. Employees sign and state that they understand the training they receive. Each staff receives an annual refresher course on PREA related topics.

115.31 (a) 1: Procedure 602.053 Section 2c Pages 7 & 8

### Standard 115.32 Volunteer and contractor training

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

All volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibility under department policies. In the past twelve months, there have been 200 volunteers and contractors who have been trained in the agency's policies. The auditor reviewed contractor and volunteer training records, each have signed they understand the PREA training they received. The facility maintains documentation of volunteer training in the chaplain's office. Medical maintains their training files in the medical department. Volunteers and contract staff interviewed discussed the agency zero policy and articulated how to respond to an allegation of sexual assault.

115.32 (a) 1: Procedure 602.057 Section 1g1 Page 5

### Standard 115.33 Inmate education

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental policy requires that all inmates receive PREA information upon arrival and PREA education as part of the Reception and Orientation process. During orientation inmates are provided information through a PREA pamphlet and inmate rule book (both available in English and Spanish) that explains the agencies zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how to report such incidents. During the orientation the PREA video JDI's "PREA: What You Need to Know" video is presented. Inmates acknowledge receiving the PREA information in writing. Posters and inmate handbooks are provided to inmates or posted in the housing units in formats accessible to all inmates. Information provided included: inmate rights; how to report; what to expect after you report; and how to protect yourself against sexual assault. During the tour and interviews most inmates acknowledged the information being provided upon arrival and orientation. A random review of inmate records showed that inmates acknowledge through signature they have received and understood the training. During the last twelve months 701 inmates were given this information at orientation.

115.33 (c)-3: Procedure 601.210 Section 1a Page 2, 1c2 Page 3, 1d Page 3, 2c Page 3, 3 Page 4, 4a, 4b3, 4d Pages 4 & 5, 5b, 5c, 5g, 5h Pages 5 & 6

Confirmed Inmate education was being completed per Departmental Policy February 14, 2018. Signature sheets reviewed.

### Standard 115.34 Specialized training: Investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental policy requires the Inspector's receive specialized training in addition to the general education provided to all employees. The Inspector completed the MOSS Group training. The MOSS Group special training curriculum for Inspectors was reviewed and found to cover all requirements. The training included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The telephone interview of the inspector demonstrated he understood how to conduct a sexual abuse investigation in a confinement setting and what his role was. The agency maintains documentation that the Inspector has received both the general and investigative PREA training. Interviews with the inspector verified his knowledge of conducting investigations.

115.34 Procedure 108.015 Page 10 & 11

### Standard 115.35 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly at Moore Haven Correctional Facility are trained. Interviews of medical and mental health staff demonstrated they understood: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse; how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility medical staff does not conduct forensic examinations. The number and percent of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at Moore Haven Correctional Facility and have received training by the agency policy are 25 and 100% respectively.

115.35 Procedure 602.053 page 7 &8

### Standard 115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department has an aggressive initiative to reduce in-cell violence between inmates. The focal point of this initiative is to ensure inmates considered predators or potential predators are housed appropriately. Inmates that can be considered a danger to others should not be housed in cells with inmates who can be considered potential victims. To that end, a major system identifies these types of inmates as well as inmates that may be sexual aggressors or victims. The system is IBAS (Inmate Behavioral Assessment Scale). The Department utilizes a complex web system designed by the Bureau of Classification Management to identify potential inmate predators, prey and those inmates at risk for sexual violence either as an aggressor or as a victim. This is a multi-tiered system that performs a variety of significant functions including ensuring appropriate housing of identified and potential predators and sexual aggressors. Criteria to include past violent convictions, violent disciplinary reports, STG affiliation, release dates, as well height and weight differentials are considered when housing inmates. The housing officer must review and approve any bed changes made.

There were 701 inmates entering the facility whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other inmates within 72 hours of their entry into the facility. There were 3 reassessments completed during the previous twelve months.

115.41 (a) -1: 602.053 Section 2a1, 2a6 & 2a7 Page 6, 11 Page 14

### Standard 115.42 Use of screening information

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The auditor interviewed members of the security and classification staff to question them on how the iBAS system is used to determine work/housing and education assignments. Each explained how it was used in considering victimization among other things. The placement of transgender and/or intersex inmates is done only after a Medical Review Committee has reviewed the case. Transgender/Intersex inmates receive a face-to-face review with mental health staff within fourteen days of arrival, biannually and anytime in which their program assignment, work assignment, discipline record as well as their views with respect to their safety is questioned. Additionally, inmates who are identified as at high risk for perpetration or victimization are monitored through the iBAS system, to ensure inmates are not assigned to work, housing or education assignments in a manner that might increase their propensity for abuse. There are two transgender/intersex inmates assigned to Moore Haven . Staff interviewed acknowledged transgender/intersex inmates would be given an opportunity to shower separately.

FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 6 Section 2A2, 2A3, 2A6, 2A8; Page 7 Section 2A9-2A11

### Standard 115.43 Protective custody

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The administrative segregation unit is housed in segregation housing unit. Policy states inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available means of separation from likely abusers. Policy states inmates placed in this status shall be reviewed every seven days for the first 60 days and 30 days thereafter. Review shows there were no inmates placed in protective status during the intake process.

FAC 33-602.220 Page 1 Section 1A, 2A, 2B; Page 2 Section 3C; Page 3 Section 3C-3G, Page 4 Section 4D; Page 5 Section 5A-5G; Page 6 Section 5H-5P; Page 8 Section 8A-8C and 9A.

### Standard 115.51 Inmate reporting

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Department of Corrections provides multiple ways for inmates to report sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment to include anonymously upon request. Inmates can call the OIG hotline. Inmates can also report to staff verbally or in writing. Departmental website provides for third party reporting. Interviews of inmates, staff and review of policies, inmate handbooks and information posted next to the inmate phones in the housing areas verified the inmates have multiple internal ways to report incidents of abuse or harassment. During the tour the phones were tested. Examples of inmate reporting through different means were reviewed when investigative cases were reviewed. Staff accepts reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties, and are promptly documented by incident report. Staff training covers the ways for staff to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. During interviews some staff knew they could report sexual abuse and harassment of inmates to the Inspector General via the hotline. Posters and other documents on display throughout the facility also explain the reporting procedures. The PREA pamphlet and the inmate handbook address this standard. Department of Corrections does not house inmates solely for civil immigration.

FDC Procedure 602.053  
FAC 33-103.006 Filing a Formal Grievance

### Standard 115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Inmates may file a grievance; however, all allegations of abuse or harassment, when received by staff, would immediately result in the opening of a formal investigation (criminal or administrative). This process would not involve staff who may be the subject of the complaint. FAC 33-103.006 states "If the inmate or third party is filing a grievance involving sexual abuse, it shall be clearly stated in the first line of the grievance that it is a grievance related to sexual abuse. Also on Form DC1-303 the third party filer shall check the box next to Third Party Grievance Alleging Sexual Abuse. If this statement is not included in the grievance and if the third party box is not checked, the grievance shall be responded to. This will not be a reason to return the grievance without action to the filer". There were no grievances filed in the previous twelve months. There were no third party or emergency grievances filed in the previous twelve months.

FDC Procedure 602.053  
FAC 33-103.006 Page 2 Section 1C, 1E, Page 3 Section 1H, Section 1L

### **Standard 115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility provides inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse with a memorandum of understanding with The Abuse Counseling & Treatment Center (ACT), Ft. Myers, Florida. Inmates are provided a mailing address and telephone number for the center. The facility is an adult prison and does not house individuals detained solely for civil immigration purposes. Inmates are provided reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations in as confidential a manner as possible. The Institution informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. The institution informs inmates, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality.

### **Standard 115.54 Third-party reporting**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department has third party reporting of sexual abuse or sexual harassment through the public website via third party grievances and the citizen's complaint form. Inmate and staff acknowledged both during interviews. The third party grievance form is available online at [www.dc.state.fl.us/oth/inmates/prea-grievances.html](http://www.dc.state.fl.us/oth/inmates/prea-grievances.html). The citizen's complaint form can be found at <http://www.dc.state.fl.us/apps/igcomplaint.asp>. Information is also posted near the telephones that provide the inmates a telephone number to make third party reports, along with numbers to tell family and friends to make third party reports. Interview of inmates demonstrated they knew how third party reporting could be accomplished. Family members or other individuals may report verbally or in writing any time they have knowledge or suspect an offender has been sexually abused, sexually harassed or requires protection. Offenders interviewed were aware of this method of reporting. GEO has third party reporting capabilities. They have a hot line to report anonymous reports and receive third third calls.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response  
GEO 5.1.2 E

### Standard 115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Department policies require all staff to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment; and for staff not to reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than extent necessary. The facility reports all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, to the Inspector General via the MINS reporting system. Interviews of staff and inmates verified staff immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment; and that staff does not reveal information related to a sexual abuse report other than to people authorize to discuss the report. Random interviews with staff revealed that staff is aware of their responsibilities to report incidents of sexual abuse or harassment and know not to reveal any information about a sexual abuse incident to anyone other than to the extent necessary. Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, medical and mental health practitioners are required to report sexual abuse and to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services. Medical answered in the affirmative responding to questions of confidentiality.

FS 944.35 Page 2 Section 2D Section 4A-4C  
Staff Training Curriculum PREA Pages. 3-4 Section 18, Section 19

### Standard 115.62 Agency protection duties

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental policy requires all staff to take immediate action to protect any inmate they learn is subject to substantial risk. Interviews with staff demonstrate they know the steps to take to protect an inmate subject to risk of imminent sexual abuse. Security staff immediately employs protection measures as the information is passed to the Shift Supervisor, Chief of Security and Warden. In the past twelve months there were three times the inmate was removed from population so the facility could determine whether an inmate was subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The facility made the determinations less than one hour of learning of the threat.

FAC 33-602.220 Page 1 Section 2A-2B; Page 2 Section 3C; Page 3 Section 3F-3G; Page 4 Section 4D  
FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 7/Section B5; Page 9 Section 3C; Page 9-10 Section 4A, Section 4A2

### Standard 115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental policy requires when a sexual abuse allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden that received the allegation shall notify the Warden where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours after receiving the allegation. Interviews of the Warden, Assistant Warden and PREA Compliance manager demonstrated they knew the procedures to follow. There was one alleged incident at other institutions reported during the intake process to staff. Documentation provided the Warden notified the previous facility Warden.

FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 10/Sec. 8

### Standard 115.64 Staff first responder duties

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure clearly specifies procedures to respond to an allegation of sexual abuse for both security and non-security staff. Random interviews with staff confirm both security and non-security staff knew what to do upon learning an inmate was sexually abused to include separating the alleged victim and abuser; how to preserve the crime scene; and what actions inmates should not take in order not to destroy physical evidence including, as appropriate, not washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. Review of investigative files further demonstrated security and non-security staff knew what to do as the first responder to a sexual assault. Training records and staff interviews confirm the staff is trained as first responders.

In the past twelve months there were three allegations of sexual abuse. In one case the staff member receiving the allegation separated the alleged victim and escorted the inmate to the inspector. The other cases occurred in years past or they were already secured in a single cell housing unit. One case was within the time period that allowed for collection of physical evidence.

FDC Procedure 108.015 Pg. 5-7/Sec. 7A-7L, 7R, 7U

FDC Procedure 602.053 Pg. 10/Sec.4A4

PREA Staff Training Curriculum Pg. 2/Sec. 21-23; Pg. 3/Sec. 21-22; Pg. 4-6/Sec. 22-2

### **Standard 115.65 Coordinated response**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Moore Haven Correctional Facility has an institutional plan, which outlines what is to take place in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical, and mental health practitioners, Inspectors, and facility leadership. Interviews with specialized staff confirmed they were knowledgeable about their individual and collaborative responsibilities.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response

### **Standard 115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility is an at will employer.

### Standard 115.67 Agency protection against retaliation

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental procedure describes the policy and practice to be followed to ensure that there is no retaliation against any inmate or staff member who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The Assistant Warden is the staff member charged to ensure compliance to this policy. Classification staff monitors the conduct or treatment of inmates who reported sexual abuse and who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff. Classification staff receives an appointment (IM05) to interview the inmate every 30 days. They conduct periodic checks up to 90 days but beyond if the Assistant Warden of Programs feels the situation requires it. With inmate retaliation the Classification staff looks at inmate disciplinary reports, housing, and or program status changes. The Assistant Warden of Programs monitors staff by reviewing performance reviews or reassignments and shift changes.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 10 Section 4A7, Page 9-10 Section 4A page 9 Section 3C

Staff were trained to conduct the retaliation interview per the Department of Corrections policy.

### Standard 115.68 Post-allegation protective custody

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. Policy states inmates placed in this status shall be reviewed every seven days for the first 60 days and 30 days thereafter.

At the time of the audit all inmates making an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment were placed in administrative pending the outcome of the investigation. The auditor found the facility in non-compliance recommending training the supervisor staff to look for alternative housing rather than segregation placement. Training for the staff was completed on September 26, 2017. There have been five allegations of sexual abuse since the audit. Review of the five allegations reflects all five were reviewed for alternative housing.

FAC 33-602.220 Administrative Segregation

## Standard 115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department of Corrections Office of Inspector General conducts investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it does so thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports. Third party and anonymous reports are treated no different than a first person report. The Inspector conducts all investigations immediately on being notified of the allegation. Based on his interview the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness is assessed on an individual basis and not determined by the person's status as inmate or staff. The Inspector's training records and interview demonstrated the special training they received from the Moss Group and the Department trainers. Inspectors are responsible for gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. When the preponderance of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the Office of the Inspector General conducts compelling interviews only after consulting with the state attorney as to whether compelling interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. A substantiated allegation of conduct that appears to be criminal is referred for prosecution. Administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse and document in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. Criminal investigations document in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible.

FS 944.31 Pg. 1

## Standard 115.72 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Investigative Procedure indicates that only a preponderance of evidence is the standard when determining allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is substantiated. During the interview with the Inspector he indicated that this is the threshold used by Inspectors in their investigations.

FDC Procedure 108.003 page 3 Section 20, page 5 Section 39, page 6 Section 43-44, Page 7 Section 54-55, page 11 Section 5E, Page 16 Section 8  
FDC 108.015 Investigative Process

### Standard 115.73 Reporting to inmates

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

There were no completed investigative files at Moore Haven Correctional Facility. Policy states a written notice of notification to the inmate informing him of the outcome of the investigations whether it had been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. If there were any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse by a staff member, the inmate would be informed in writing to include whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the department learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. If the inmate was alleged to have been sexually abused by another inmate, the Inspector informs the alleged victim whenever: the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. There were eleven completed investigations in the previous twelve months and in all eleven the inmate was notified of the outcome of the investigation.

FDC 602.053 page 14 Section 9  
FDC 108.015 Page 10 Section 11B, 11D

### Standard 115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse. Disciplinary sanctions for violations of policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies

Florida Statute 944.35 Page 2 Section 2B1-2B3 Section 3D, Section 4A-4B  
FAC 60L-36.005 (3) d-g  
GEO Procedure 5.1.2 E page 10 section G

## Standard 115.77 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The employee code of conduct policy applies to contractors and volunteers. It requires contractors or volunteers who engaged in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The Warden reported that have been no allegations of sexual abuse by contractors or volunteers. Interviews with contractors and volunteers confirmed they were aware of the zero tolerance policy for engaging in sexual abuse or sexual harassment of inmates or staff. There have been no issues with any contractors or volunteers at Moore Haven Correctional Facility.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response  
FDC 205.002 Contract Administration and Management 205.002 Page 24 Section 15C4  
GEO Procedure 5.1.2 Page 11 Section G3

## Standard 115.78 Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. In the past twelve months there were no administrative findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility. In the past twelve months there were no criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility.

Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories. The Department has posted a range of penalties for prohibited conduct. The disciplinary team has ten different levels or combination of levels to administer penalties. The disciplinary process shall consider whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed. Inmates perpetrators are disciplined and/or reviewed for close management if the OIG determines a sexual abuse case is substantiated. If the inmate is on medication for mental health reasons mental health services is contacted before moving forward with writing of disciplinary report.

Inmate handbook states “There is no such thing as legal consensual sex in prison. The Department of Corrections policy and the statute prohibit sexual behavior between inmates”. Disciplinary policy stipulates that inmate-on-inmate sexual activity (not forced) will result in a disciplinary report be written for violation of 9-7 Sex acts or 9-18 unauthorized physical contact involving inmates. This report results in a disciplinary hearing being held on the inmate within the facility. For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in

### **Standard 115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure requires that any inmate reporting any prior victimization or indicating sexual abuse be seen no longer than fourteen days after arrival. Any inmate received at and makes any reference to victimization and perpetrated sexual abuse is seen by medical/ mental health usually right after intake interview or the next day. Medical and Mental Health staff get informed consent before reporting prior sex victimization, which took place not in an institutional setting. Mental health staff maintains DC forms and logs documenting compliance with Health Service Procedures. The information shared with other staff is strictly limited to informing security and management, including treatment plans, housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required Departmental Procedure.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 12 Section 6A-6B

Staff were trained as to correct way to document the encounter and consistent documenting in the medical records.

### **Standard 115.82 Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedures mandate the inmate victims of sexual abuse receive immediate access to medical and mental health services. Interviews with staff and inmates confirm inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services from medical and mental health staff. Correct Care Medical Services provides this service. If alleged sexual abuse occurred within 72 hours, security escorts the victim to medical department for medical staff to assess and stabilize while awaiting SART team arrival for a forensic exam. Inmates receive information and timely access to contraception and to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. There is no cost for forensic exams or treatment to the inmate. There has been no inmates see by the SART team during the previous twelve months.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Pages 12-13 Section 6C-6F  
Health Services Bulletin 15.03.36  
FDC 401.010 Page 3 Section 1D9

### **Standard 115.83 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure 602.053 requires all inmates be offered medical and mental health evaluations to those who were sexually abused in any prison, jail or juvenile facility including follow up services. Inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Moore Haven Correctional Facility through Correct Care offers mental health services to all known inmate abusers, if appropriate. The facility also offers mental health service to all known inmate victims as well. Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost. This practice was confirmed by interviews with staff and inmates; and medical and mental health documentation demonstrates there is on-going medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers. Medical and mental health conducts follow-up care for sexual abuse incidents. Facility is a male only facility. There were five inmates reporting victimization during the intake process.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 12-13 Section 6C, 6E-6F  
Health Services Bulletin 15.03.36

### **Standard 115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy requires post incident reviews are done within 30 days of the conclusion of every investigation except were the allegation was unfounded. Departmental policy identifies the members of the review team, and covers the process for sexual abuse incident reviews. The incident review team is made up of the Assistant Warden, Chief of Security and the Classification Supervisor. The review team gets input from line supervisors, Inspectors, and medical or mental health practitioners. There were no incident reviews completed during the previous twelve months. Policy states incident review is used in determining causes and better policies and practices to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse. Incident review team members were interviewed and knowledgeable of the process. The facility may include agency staff in the discussion during the incident review.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 3-4 Section 17-18 page 14-15 Section 12

### Standard 115.87 Data collection

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility provided documents demonstrating data was being collected, aggregated and maintained. The GEO maintains reviews and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The GEO Group or PREA Coordinator maintains reviews and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 2 Section 6 Page 3-4 Section 17 page 13 Section 7  
2015 Corrective Action Plan for the facility.

### Standard 115.88 Data review for corrective action

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The GEO PREA coordinator reviews the data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, and to identify problem areas and take corrective action. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager ensures the data collected on sexual abuse and sexual harassment cases is forwarded to the PREA Coordinator monthly. The PREA Coordinator ensures the information is provided for purposes of Departmental reporting. An annual report is prepared.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 2 Section 6 Page 3-4 Section 17 page 13 Section 7  
GEO 2016 Annual Report June 2017

**Standard 115.89 Data storage, publication, and destruction**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The GEO Group or PREA Coordinator ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained.

FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 13 Section 7 Page 14 Section 10-11  
115.89 (b)-1 Survey of Sexual Violence Part B  
GEO Web Page

**AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Hubert L. "Buddy" Kent

September 10, 2018

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date